

## CHLOROPLAST COUPLING FACTOR $CF_1$ IN SOLUTION

### Small-angle X-ray scattering and circular dichroism measurements

K.-H. SÜSS<sup>+</sup>, Hilde DAMASCHUN, G. DAMASCHUN and D. ZIRWER

*Zentralinstitut für Molekularbiologie, 1115 Berlin-Buch and <sup>+</sup> Zentralinstitut für Genetik und Kulturpflanzenforschung  
der Akademie der Wissenschaften der DDR, 4325 Gatersleben, GDR*

Received 13 December 1977

Revised version received 19 January 1978

### 1. Introduction

Recently a proton translocating protein complex was shown to catalyze the photosynthetic ATP synthesis of chloroplasts when the thylakoid membranes are stressed by a transmembrane proton gradient as proposed [1–3]. This complex involves at least the peripheral membrane protein coupling factor  $CF_1$  being the actual ATP-synthetase and a non-identified transmembrane component designated  $HF_0$  [3,4]. From this complex only the coupling factor could be purified to homogeneity. This protein with a molecular weight of about 320 000 [5] could be resolved into five subunit classes ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\epsilon$ ) by sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)–acrylamide gel electrophoresis. They have mol. wt 59 000, 55 000, 37 000, 21 000 and 16 000, respectively [6–8].

The relative staining intensities of the subunit bands from the gels and crosslinking experiments gave evidence for one molecule of  $CF_1$  to be composed of  $2\alpha$ ,  $2\beta$ ,  $1\gamma$ ,  $1\delta$  and  $2\epsilon$  subunits [9–11].

Though conformational changes of  $CF_1$  subunits have been detected during the process of photophosphorylation [12] only little is known on the quaternary structure of the enzyme. Electron microscopic data have shown  $CF_1$  to be spherical in shape, but there exist contradictory results with respect to the diameter of this particle. Previously the diameter of the membrane-bound as well as of the solubilized  $CF_1$  was found to be 150 Å in freeze-etched preparations and 90 Å in negatively-stained material [13]. Thus electron–optical investigations of the quater-

nary structure of this protein seem not to be reliable. For this reason we studied the structure of the purified  $CF_1$  in solution using the methods of small-angle X-ray scattering and circular dichroism.

The protein was shown to have a radius of gyration of 56.5 Å, a maximal particle diameter of 234 Å, vol. 1 200 000 Å<sup>3</sup> and an axial ratio of 1 : 2. The shape of the molecule is best approximated by an oblate ellipsoid of revolution with the axes of  $2a = 84$  Å and  $2b = 168$  Å. From the unusually high experimentally-determined volume: dry volume ratio of about 3.4 together with an  $\alpha$ -helix content of about 20% it was concluded that channels may exist within the protein molecule.

### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Preparation of the coupling factor $CF_1$

$CF_1$  from chloroplast thylakoid membranes of *Vicia faba* was extracted and purified as in [8]. The enzyme was shown to be pure by gel electrophoresis. It consists of the five subunits  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$  and  $\epsilon$  which are present in the protein in a molecular ratio of 2:2:1:1:2 [10]. Before being used for measurements,  $CF_1$  suspended in 2 M ammonium sulfate solution was precipitated by centrifugation and desalted by ultrafiltration dialysis against 50 mM Tris–HCl buffer, pH 7.8. The protein concentrations were determined spectrophotometrically using an extinction coefficient of  $\epsilon_{276.5\text{ nm}}^{1\%} = 2.6$ .

## 2.2. Small-angle X-ray scattering and circular dichroism (CD) measurements

Small-angle X-ray scattering measurements were done with  $\text{CuK}\alpha$ -radiation using a stabilized X-ray generator of the type TUR M62 (VEB Transformatoren- und Röntgenwerk, Dresden) and both an automated small-angle X-ray diffractometer of the Kratky type and a four-slit diffractometer. All measurements were carried out at  $20 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ . Scattering curves were obtained from protein solutions with concentrations of  $\text{CF}_1$  of 9.3 g/l, 18 g/l and 112 g/l, respectively, and the corresponding blank curve for the 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.8. Solutions were examined in glass capillaries 1 mm in diameter. For data processing the programme system SAXS was used [14].

CD measurements were carried out with a Roussel-Jouan dichrograph CD 185. The molecular ellipticities were calculated using a mean amino acid residue weight of 114. The protein secondary structure fractions of  $\text{CF}_1$  were computed from CD spectra between 210 nm and 240 nm [15] using the reference spectra of [16,17].

## 3. Results

Guinier plots of the measured scattering curves for  $\text{CF}_1$  at various concentrations are shown in fig.1. The radius of gyration, determined from the slope of the




Fig.1. Guinier plot of the scattering curve of coupling factor  $\text{CF}_1$  for various concentrations.




Fig.2. Fourier-cosinus transform of the  $\text{CF}_1$  scattering curve.

scattering curve at zero concentration, was found to be 56.5 Å.

The slit smeared correlation function  $\tilde{C}(x)$  was calculated from the slit smeared scattering curve  $j(b)$  by the integral transformation.

$$\tilde{C}(x) = 2 \int_0^\infty j(b) \cos 2\pi bx \, db \quad [18].$$

The spherically averaged distance distribution obtained by integral transformation of the entire scattering curve [19] yielded a maximum particle diameter of  $L = 234 \text{ Å}$  (fig.2).

The shape of the molecule is determined by comparison of the measured scattering curve of coupling factor with the calculated ones of ellipsoids of revolution. Figure 3 shows that the inner part of the scattering curve of  $\text{CF}_1$  is best approximated by an oblate ellipsoid of revolution with the axes of  $2a = 84 \text{ Å}$  and  $2b = 168 \text{ Å}$ . The volume of this model body is  $V = 1\,200\,000 \text{ Å}^3$ .





Fig.3. Desmeared  $CF_1$  scattering curve and comparison with the scattering curves of ellipsoids of revolution with different axial ratios. Scattering intensity in arbitrary units.

From the difference between the maximum diameter of this model body (168 Å) and the calculated maximum diameter  $L = 234$  Å together with observed differences in the scattering curves was concluded that the  $CF_1$ -molecule is asymmetric in shape.

Figure 4 shows the CD spectrum of the  $CF_1$  and the calculated secondary structure fractions of the protein ( $\alpha$  =  $\alpha$ -helix,  $\beta$  =  $\beta$ -structure,  $\rho$  = unordered structure).

Using the reference spectra [16,17] for calculation of secondary structure fractions 23%  $\alpha$ -helices are obtained in both cases whilst the values of  $\beta$ - and  $\rho$ -fractions of secondary structures can be considered only as quantitative estimations.



Secondary Structure of Coupling Factor  $CF_1$  computed from CD-data (210 nm - 240 nm)

Reference spectra	Percentages of secondary structure		
	$\alpha$	$\beta$	$\rho$
Saxena a. Wetlaufer [1971]	23	22	55
Chen Yang a. Chau [1974]	23	14	63

Standard deviations  $\approx 1\%$

Fig.4. CD spectrum of  $CF_1$  and results of the calculation of secondary structure fractions.

#### 4. Discussion

Protein secondary structure fractions of coupling factor  $CF_1$  were calculated from CD spectra. The data indicate nearly 23% amino acids of  $CF_1$ -molecule to be in  $\alpha$ -helical structures. A similar value was in [9].

The small-angle X-ray scattering data enable some conclusions to be made about the quaternary structure of coupling factor  $CF_1$  in solution.

The linearity of the Guinier plots at different protein concentrations (fig.1) shows that the  $CF_1$  is globular in nature and does not contain partially- or totally-unfolded polypeptide chains. The Guinier plots also indicate the monodispersity of the protein fraction investigated and show no significant dissociation into subunits or intermolecular aggregation of  $CF_1$  molecules.

The unusually high volume of the coupling factor in solution is of particular interest. The volume has been determined from small-angle X-ray scattering data to be  $V_{\text{exp.}} = 1\,200\,000 \text{ Å}^3$ . Using the equation:

$$V_{\text{cal}} = \frac{M \cdot \bar{v}}{N_L}$$

where  $M$  is the molecular weight ( $M = 320\,000$  g/mol),  $\bar{v}$  is the partial specific volume ( $\bar{v} = 0.737$  cm<sup>3</sup>/g) and  $N_L$  is Loschmidt's number; the dry volume of the CF<sub>1</sub> molecule was calculated to be  $V_{\text{cal}} = 348\,000$  Å<sup>3</sup>. Dividing  $V_{\text{exp}}$  by  $V_{\text{cal}}$ , a volume ratio  $q$  of 3.4 was estimated, which is equivalent to a hydration of 1.9 g H<sub>2</sub>O/g CF<sub>1</sub>. Taking the amino acid composition of CF<sub>1</sub> [8], a maximum hydration of the interphase protein/water of only 0.45 g H<sub>2</sub>O/g protein was calculated using the method in [20]. According to our own experience there is a good correspondence between the values of hydration estimated from amino acid composition and the volume ratio  $q$  for several soluble enzymes. In the case of coupling factor CF<sub>1</sub>, the observed difference between the two values can only be understood if we assume that, besides the structured hydrated surface, channel-like inner cavities exist within the protein molecule. At present, however, it cannot be decided, whether or not these cavities contain water.

Further experiments will be carried out using the method of small-angle X-ray scattering to explain the structure of coupling factor CF<sub>1</sub>.

### Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the skilful technical assistance of Marion Papst and Angela Stegmann.

### References

- [1] Mitchell, P. (1966) *Biol. Rev.* 41, 445–502.
- [2] Jagendorf, A. T. (1975) in: *Bioenergetics of Photosynthesis* (Govindjee ed) pp. 413–492, Academic Press, New York.
- [3] Hauska, G. A. and Trebst, A. (1977) *Curr. Top. Bioenerget.* 6, 151–220.
- [4] Younis, H. M. and Winget, G. D. (1977) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 77, 168–174.
- [5] Farron, F. (1970) *Biochemistry* 9, 3823–3828.
- [6] Racker, E., Hauska, G. A., Lien, S., Berzborn, R. J. and Nelson, N. (1972) *Proc. II Int. Cong. Photosynthesis*, Stresa (Forti, G., Avron, M. and Melandri, A. eds) pp. 1097–1113.
- [7] McEvoy, F. A. and Lynn, W. S. (1973) *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 156, 335–341.
- [8] Süß, K.-H. (1976) *FEBS Lett.* 70, 191–196.
- [9] Nelson, N. (1976) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 456, 314–338.
- [10] Süß, K.-H. (1976) unpublished experiments.
- [11] Baird, B. A. and Hammes, G. G. (1976) *J. Biol. Chem.* 251, 6953–6962.
- [12] Jagendorf, A. T. (1975) *Fed. Proc. Fed. Am. Soc. Exp. Biol.* 34, 1718–1722.
- [13] Garber, M. P. and Steponkus, P. L. (1974) *J. Cell Biol.* 63, 24–34.
- [14] Walter, G., Kranold, R., Gocke, W., Damaschun, G. and Müller, J. J. (1975) *Wiss. Zeitsch. Universität Rostock* 24, 599–604.
- [15] Becker, M., Schälke, W. and Zirwer, D. (1973) *Studia Biophys.* 35, 203–220.
- [16] Saxena, V. P. and Wetlaufer, D. B. (1971) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 68, 969–972.
- [17] Chen, Y.-H., Yang, I. T. and Chan, K. H. (1974) *Biochemistry* 13, 3350–3359.
- [18] Damaschun, G., Müller, J. J., Samaschun, H., Pürschel, H.-V., Walter, G. and Kranold, R. (1974) *Studia Biophys.* 47, 63–83.
- [19] Damaschun, G., Kley, G., Müller, J. J. and Pürschel, H.-V. (1968) *Acta Biol. Med. Germ.* 20, 409–412.
- [20] Kuntz, I. D. (1971) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 93, 514–516.